



# MOHAMED SATHAK ENGINEERING COLLEGE

KILAKARAI-623 806, RAMANATHAPURAM DIST.

Approved by ANITS, CEPA, New India, FICSI, Mankai. Affiliated to Anna University,  
An ISO 9001:2015 Institution. Sponsored by Mohamed Sathak Trust, Chennai - 06.



ACCREDITED



ISO 9001:2015



TNEA

5907



(Recognized under section 2(f) & 12B of UGC, New Delhi)

## DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES

### FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT

Date: 06.05.2024

Faculty Feedback Analysis of Department of Science and Humanities for the Academic year  
2023-2024

#### Objective of Feedback:

An annual survey was conducted to assess the curriculum content and syllabus weightage. Usually faculty members will fill the forms at the end of every academic year. Collected data will be kept confidentially. The data is analyzed and their suggestions are considered and placed before the Governing Council and IQAC for discussion and for possible incorporation in the curriculum.

#### Design of feedback:

##### The feedback collected included rating of key services on a five point scale:

1. Poor
2. Average
3. Good
4. Very good
5. Excellent

#### Analysis of Feedback:

There are 11 questions in the feedback form. Collection of feedback forms has been done from faculty. According to the analysis following major points has been noticed. Feedback was sorted and the opinion obtained for each parameter is represented as percentage of total number of feedback response obtained for that parameter represented in table.



Number of forms collected: 25

S. No	Question	Percentage (%)				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Avg.	Poor
1	How do you rate the programme offered in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?	64.00	24.00	12.00	0	0
2	How do you rate the availability of the text and reference books in the market?	76.00	16.00	8.00	0	0
3	How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the curriculum?	68.00	24.00	8.00	0	0
4	How do you rate the treatment of the students irrespective of the background of the student (gender, cast, community, creed etc.) in teaching and evaluation?	84.00	16.00	0.00	0	0
5	How do you rate the ambience of the College for effective delivery of the academic process?	80.00	12.00	8.00	0	0
6	How do rate the courses in terms of their relevanceto the latest and/or the future technologies?	60.00	32.00	8.00	0	0
7	How do you rate the programmes based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?	56.00	28.00	16.00	0	0
8	How do you rate the outcomes that your student has achieved from the courses?	52.00	32.00	16.00	0	0
9	How do you rate the transparency of the evaluation system in the College?	76.00	20.00	4.00	0	0
10	How do you rate the college activities that help your students in getting jobs and placements?	72.00	24.00	4.00	0	0
11	How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?	76.00	20.00	4.00	0	0

As per analysis of faculty feedback form, most of the faculty chose Excellent, Very good and good and it is analyzed that, the curriculum of the courses has good balance between theory and applications. Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.

HOD  
4.6.15/24



Principal  
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL**

MOHAMED SATHAK ENGINEERING COLLEGE  
KILAKARAI - 623 806

Copy to:

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)





**MOHAMED SATHAK  
ENGINEERING COLLEGE**  
KILAKARAI, RAMANATHAPURAM DIST.  
Approved by AICTE, P.O. Nandivathi - 605 006 Tamil Nadu Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai  
(Sponsored by Mohamed Sathak Trust, Chennai - 605 006)



DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES

**FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT**

Date: 02.06.2023

Faculty Feedback Analysis of Department of Science and Humanities for the Academic year 2022-2023.

**Objective of Feedback:**

An annual survey was conducted to assess the curriculum content and syllabus weightage. Usually faculty members will fill the forms at the end of every academic year. Collected data will be kept confidentially. The data is analyzed and their suggestions are considered and placed before the Governing Council through IQAC for discussion and for possible incorporation in the curriculum.

**Design of feedback:**

**The feedback collected included rating of key services on a five point scale:**

1. Poor
2. Average
3. Good
4. Very good
5. Excellent

**Analysis of Feedback:**

There are 11 questions in the feedback form. Collection of feedback forms has been done from faculty. According to the analysis following major points has been noticed. Feedback was sorted and the opinion obtained for each parameter is represented as percentage of total number of feedback response obtained for that parameter represented in table.

Number of forms collected: 28

S. No	Question	Percentage (%)				
		Excellent	Very. Good	Good	Avg.	Poor
1	How do you rate the programme offered in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?	57.14	25.00	17.86	0	0
2	How do you rate the availability of the text and reference books in the market?	67.86	21.43	10.71	0	0
3	How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the curriculum?	60.71	32.14	7.14	0	0
4	How do you rate the treatment of the students irrespective of the background of the student (gender, cast, community, creed etc.) in teaching and evaluation?	78.57	21.43	0.00	0	0
5	How do you rate the ambience of the College for effective delivery of the academic process?	71.43	17.86	10.71	0	0
6	How do rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest and/or the future technologies?	53.57	35.71	10.71	0	0
7	How do you rate the programmes based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?	50.00	25.00	25.00	0	0
8	How do you rate the outcomes that your student has achieved from the courses?	46.43	32.14	17.86	0	0
9	How do you rate the transparency of the evaluation system in the College?	67.86	21.43	10.71	0	0
10	How do you rate the college activities that help your students in getting jobs and placements?	64.29	25.00	10.71	0	0
11	How do you rate the transformation of your students after the completion of the course?	67.86	21.43	10.71	0	0

As per analysis of faculty feedback form, most of the faculty chose Excellent, Very good and good and it is analyzed that, the curriculum of the courses has good balance between theory and applications. Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.

Dr. *[Signature]*  
HOD 5/6/23

Copy to:

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)



*[Signature]* 5/6/23  
PRINCIPAL  
PRINCIPAL  
MOHAMED SATHAK ENGINEERING COLLEGE  
KILAKARAI - 623 806.